	PATENT	APPLICATIO Effect	N FEE D	RD	7	29/5	3/	6 159(,				
CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I (Column 1) (Cotumn 2)								SMALL ENTITY TYPE			OTHER THAN OR SMALL ENTITY		
TOTAL CLAIMS			22					RATE	FEE	1	RATE	FEE	
FOR			NUMBER FILED		NUMBER EXTRA		BJ	SIC FE	355.00	OR	BASIC FEE	710.00	
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS			2 2 minus 20=		٠ 2		<u> </u>	X\$ 9=	†		X\$18=		
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS			minus 3 =		. 0		⊢		 	OR		36	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT							X40=			OR	X80=		
							١.	135=	1	OR	+270=		
* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2							OTAL		OR	TOTAL	746		
(L)4)4 CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II											OTHER		
$\stackrel{\smile}{\vdash}$		(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)						MALL	ENTITY	OR	SMALL		
AMENDMENT A		REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	F	NUM PREVIO PAID	BER SUSLY	PRESENT EXTRA	ŀ	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	./	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	
Š	Total	. එන	Minus	S	ערו	- /	- [;	(\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=		
AME	Independent	· 21	Minus	2	<u>}</u>	= /		(40=		OR	X80=		
	FIRST PRESE	NTATION OF MI	JETIPLE DEI	ENDENI	CLAIM		T,	135=		OR	+270=		
						L	TOTAL		np.	YOTAL			
6	-30-05	(Column 1)		(Colur	nn 2)	(Column 3)	ADI	NT. FEE	<u> </u>	J O . 1	ADDIT. FEE		
ENT B		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGH NUM PREVIO PAID	EST BER XUSLY	PRESENT EXTRA	F	ATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	
AMENDMENT	Total	. 22	Minus	. 2	بعر	•	7	(\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	155	
	Independent	رو ٠	Minus		3	3		(40=		OR	X80=		
	FIRST PRESENTATION OF, MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM							135=		OR	+270=		
	1.1.						Ľ	TOTAL		OR	TOTAL		
	1/1/2	(Calorea 4)		(O-1	0\	(O-1 0)	ADI	IT. FEE		OH ,	ADDIT. FEE		
		(Column 1) CLAIMS		(Colur	EST	(Column 3)			4551	1			
AMENDMENT C		REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		NUM PREVIO PAID	JUSLY	PRESENT EXTRA	F	ATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE		RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	
	Total	. 24	Minus	• 2	2	ر2 -	7	\$ 9=		OR	XS+R=	100.	
	Independent	. 2	Minus		3	•-	5	(40=			X80=	· •	
L	FIRST PRESE	NTATION OF MI	ULTIPLE DEI	PENDENT	CLAIM		H			OR		· · ·	
•	* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.									OR	+270 =		
••	If the "Highest Nu	mber Previously Particusty Particustry Previously Previ	ad For IN THI	S SPACE i	s less that	n 20. enter "20."	ADE	TOTAL IT. FEE		OR	TOTAL ADDIT, FEE	100.	
		ber Previously Pai					tound	in the an	oropriate box	in col	lumn 1.	į	

Application or Docket Number

Appln. No. 09/911,596 Amdt. Dated November 10, 2005 Reply to Office Action of August 11, 2005 JOIS TEW

TED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

oplicant

Tsutomu Uenoyama, et al.

Appln. No.

09/911,596

Filed

July 24, 2001

Title

VIDEO COMPRESSION AND TRANSMISSION APPARATUS AND

VIDEO COMPRESSION AND TRANSMISSION METHOD

Conf. No.

3659

TC/A.U.

2613

Examiner

Allen C. Wong

Customer No.

000,116

Docket No.

33826

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT "D"

Sir:

This amendment is filed in response to the Office Action dated August 11, 2005 Paper No. 080805). The three-month period for responding to the Office Action expires on November 11, 2005.

Please amend the above-identified application in the following manner.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.									
Name of Attorney for Applicant(s)									
Signlature of Attorney November 10, 2005 Date									
V7 00:00T									

AG 00.001

OT EC: T505

Appln. No. 09/911,596 Arndt. Dated November 10, 2005 Reply to Office Action of August 11, 2005

Claims 9, 10, 20 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Yakasaki and

Woodhead in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,537,409 to Moriyama. For the following reasons, the

rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 9 and 10 and claims 20 and 21 depend respectively from claims 1 and 12. For the

reasons stated above, neither Yagasaki nor Woodhead nor any combination thereof teaches or

suggests every limitation of claims 1 and 12. Further, Moriyama does not teach or suggest the

limitations of which Yakasaki and Woodhead are deficient. Therefore, for the same reasons as

explained above with regard to clams 1 and 12, claims 9, 10, 20 and 21 are patentable over the

prior art of record.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same

to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 33826.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

Aaron A. Fishman - Reg. No. 44,682

1801 East 9th Street **Suite 1200** Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: November 10, 2005